

Tannery's Theorem Potpourri . . .

John Gill

www.johngill.net

7 November 2009

(Theorems, corollaries, etc. without references are due to the author)

Comment : Consider $T_{n,n}(z) = t_{1,n} \circ t_{2,n} \circ \cdots \circ t_{n,n}(z)$ where each function is of the form $t_{k,n}(z) = a_k(n) + z$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_k(n) = a_k$. Then

$$T_{n,n}(0) = a_1(n) + a_2(n) + \cdots + a_n(n) .$$

Tannery's original theorem covered this sort of thing, using uniform convergence properties:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [a_1(n) + a_2(n) + \cdots + a_n(n)] = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots$$

• • •

Several examples where TT may or may not apply:

In each instance, $a_k(n) \rightarrow a_k \equiv 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Does $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k(n) = 0$?

Example 1: $a_k(n) = \frac{k}{n} \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n,n}(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k(n) = \infty$

Example 2: $a_k(n) = \frac{k}{n^2} \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n,n}(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k(n) = \frac{1}{2}$

Example 3: $a_k(n) = \frac{k}{n^3} \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n,n}(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k(n) = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots = 0 + 0 + \cdots = 0$

Example 4: $a_k(n) = \frac{1}{n} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$, $f \in C[0,1] \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n,n}(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k(n) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx$

• • •

In more general settings:

Example 5: $a_k(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k < n \\ 1 & \text{if } k = n \end{cases}$ shows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n,n}(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k(n)$ may exist in the absence of the condition $a_n(n) \rightarrow 0$.

Example 6: $a_k(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k < n \\ 0 & \text{if } k = n \end{cases}$ shows that $a_n(n) \rightarrow 0$ does not imply $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n,n}(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k(n)$ exists (in a finite sense).

• • •

In an effort to extend the scope of TT applied to *forward iteration* (or *inner composition*) the requirement that each function map a domain S into a *compact* subset of S can be weakened a tad. Here, in this elementary theorem, the functions $\{t_{k,n}\}$ are general in nature.

Theorem 1: Let S be a simply-connected domain and $\{t_{k,n}\}$, $k \leq n$, a sequence of functions analytic in S where $t_{k,n}(S) \subset S$ and $t_{k,n}(z) \rightarrow t_k(z)$ for each k . Suppose that

- (a) $|t_{k,n}(z) - t_k(z)| < \epsilon_k(n) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all z in S , and
- (b) $|t_k(z_1) - t_k(z_2)| < \rho_k |z_1 - z_2|$, $\forall z_1, z_2$ in S .

Then $|t_{1,n} \circ t_{2,n} \circ \dots \circ t_{n,n}(z) - t_1 \circ t_2 \circ \dots \circ t_n(z)| < \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \rho_j \right) \epsilon_k(n)$

Proof: Set $\Phi_{p,n} = t_{p,n} \circ t_{p+1,n} \circ \dots \circ t_{n,n}(z)$ and $\Psi_{p,n} = t_p \circ t_{p+1} \circ \dots \circ t_n(z)$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\Phi_{1,n} - \Psi_{1,n}| &\leq |t_{1,n}(\Phi_{2,n}) - t_1(\Phi_{2,n})| + |t_1(\Phi_{2,n}) - t_1(\Psi_{2,n})| \\
 &< \epsilon_1(n) + \rho_1 |\Phi_{2,n} - \Psi_{2,n}| \\
 &< \epsilon_1(n) + \rho_1 \left[|t_{2,n}(\Phi_{3,n}) - t_2(\Phi_{3,n})| + |t_2(\Phi_{3,n}) - t_2(\Psi_{3,n})| \right] \\
 &\vdots \\
 &< \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \rho_j \right) \epsilon_k(n)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the values of $\{\rho_k\}$ are not necessarily less than one, $\{\Psi_{n,n}(z)\}$ might diverge and $\{\Phi_{n,n}(z)\}$ simply track that sequence, assuming $t_{k,n} \rightarrow t_k$ rapidly enough.

Corollary: Observe the *Tannery Series*

$$S(n) = a_1(n) + a_2(n) + \dots + a_n(n), \text{ with}$$

$$|a_k(n) - a_k| < \varepsilon_{k,n} \leq \frac{\lambda(k)}{n^\beta}, \text{ where } \lambda(k) \text{ is a linear function of } k \text{ and } \beta > 2.$$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(n) = a_1 + a_2 + \dots$, provided $\sum a_k$ converges.

Tighter conditions are possible, but this simple example shows that TT for series has more latitude.

Alternating Tannery Series

Corollary: Alternating series $S_n = a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} a_n$ require only that $a_n > a_{n+1}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ for convergence, so it would seem reasonable that a Tannery Series, $S(n) = a_1(n) - a_2(n) + \dots + (-1)^{n+1} a_n(n)$, in order to converge to the alternating series, should exhibit a fairly rapid convergence of individual terms to those of the series. Theorem 1 is applicable, in that

$|a_k(n) - a_k| < \varepsilon_k(n)$ with $\sum_1^n \varepsilon_k(n) \rightarrow 0$ is sufficient to insure the convergence of the alternating Tannery Series:

$$|S(n) - S_n| < \sum_1^n \varepsilon_k(n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Example: $S(n) = \frac{n^2}{1+n^2} - \frac{2n^2}{1+4n^2} + \frac{3n^2}{1+9n^2} - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \frac{n \cdot n^2}{1+n^2 \cdot n^2}$. Here the

corresponding alternating series is $S_n = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{n}$

We find that

$$|a_k(n) - a_k| < \frac{1}{n^2} \text{ so that } |S(n) - S_n| < \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Tannery Continued Fractions

Corollary: Consider the *Tannery C-Fraction* expansion:

$$\frac{C_1(n)z}{1} + \frac{C_2(n)z}{1} + \cdots + \frac{C_n(n)z}{1}$$

Here $t_{k,n}(w) = \frac{C_k(n)z}{1+w}$, with $|w| < r < 1$, $|z| < R$, and $|C_k(n)| < C$.

$$|t_{k,n}(w)| < \frac{CR}{1-r} \text{ with } R < \frac{r(1-r)}{C} \text{ insures } |t_{k,n}(w)| < r \text{ when } |w| < r.$$

From (a) of Theorem 1, $|t_{k,n}(w) - t_k(w)| < \frac{R}{1-r} |C_k(n) - C_k| < \frac{R}{1-r} \sigma_k(n)$, and

(b) $|t_{k,n}(w_1) - t_{k,n}(w_2)| < \frac{CR}{(1-r)^2} = \rho$. Therefore

$$|t_{1,n} \circ t_{2,n} \circ \cdots \circ t_{n,n}(z) - t_1 \circ t_2 \circ \cdots \circ t_n(z)| < \frac{R}{1-r} \sum_{k=1}^n \rho^{k-1} \sigma_k(n).$$

To illustrate, let $r = \frac{1}{2}$, $R = \frac{1}{4}$, $C = 1$, $\sigma_k(n) = \frac{k}{n^3}$. Hence $\rho_k \equiv 1$.

This gives, after a few calculations,

$$\left| \frac{C_1(n)z}{1} + \frac{C_2(n)z}{1} + \cdots + \frac{C_n(n)z}{1} - \frac{C_1z}{1} + \frac{C_2z}{1} + \cdots + \frac{C_nz}{1} \right| < \frac{1}{4n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \rightarrow 0, \text{ so that}$$

$$F_n(z) = \frac{C_1(n)z}{1} + \frac{C_2(n)z}{1} + \cdots + \frac{C_n(n)z}{1} \rightarrow F(z), \text{ analytic in } |z| < R.$$

An Integral Test for Tannery Series

The following result is an analogue of the familiar Integral Test for series. It doesn't provide a spectacular new perspective on the subject . . . it's merely a curiosity that could probably be improved:

Theorem 2: Let $S(n) = a_1(n) + a_2(n) + \dots + a_n(n)$, and suppose that there exists a non-negative, bounded and differentiable function $f(x,t)$, defined for $x \geq 1$ and $t \geq x$, with $f(k,n) = a_k(n)$, $f_t(x,t) > 0$ and $f_x(x,t) < 0$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(n) \text{ exists if and only if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^n f(x,n) dx \text{ exists}$$

Proof: A graphical representation of this structure shows the following:

$$S(n) \leq \int_1^n f(x,n) dx - a_1(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_1^n f(x,n) dx + a_n(n) \leq S(n)$$

The hypotheses imply $S(n)$ is a non-decreasing, non-negative sequence.

Other Results for Tannery Series

Theorem 3: Let $S(n) = a_1(n) + a_2(n) + \dots + a_n(n)$, and suppose there exists a non-negative, bounded, and differentiable function $f(x,t)$ defined for $x \geq 0$ and $t \geq x$, with $f(k,n) = a_k(n)$. Define $\phi(x) = f(x,x)$. Suppose $f_x(x,t) \geq 0$, $f_t(x,t) < 0$, and $\phi(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Also stipulate $f(1,t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$0 \leq \int_0^{n-1} f(x,n) dx + f(n,n) - S(n) \leq f(n,n) - f(1,n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \text{ becomes infinite.}$$

Proof: The easiest proof involves drawing a simple histogram and studying areas under curves.

Example: $f(x,t) = \frac{x}{t^2}$, then $f_x(x,t) = \frac{1}{t^2} > 0$, $f_t(x,t) = \frac{-2x}{t^3} < 0$ and $\phi(x) = \frac{1}{x} \rightarrow 0$.

The theorem shows that $\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} - S(n) \rightarrow 0$, or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(n) = \frac{1}{2}$, as is easily

verified by evaluating $S(n)$ directly: $S(n) = \frac{1}{n^2} (1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n)$.

Example: A slightly more sophisticated example is the following:

$$S(n) = \frac{1}{1^2 + n^2} + \frac{2}{2^2 + n^2} + \dots + \frac{n}{n^2 + n^2}$$

Here $f(x, t) = \frac{x}{x^2 + t^2}$ and the conditions of the theorem are satisfied for the relevant values of the variables. Thus

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{Ln} \left(2 - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2n} - S(n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ or } S(n) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \text{Ln}(2)$$

The convergence is very slow.

Theorem 4: Let $S(n) = a_1(n) + a_2(n) + \dots + a_n(n)$, and suppose there exists a non-negative, bounded, and differentiable function $f(x, t)$ defined for $x \geq 0$ and $t \geq x$, with $f(k, n) = a_k(n)$. Define $\phi(x) = f(x, x)$. Suppose

$f_x(x, t) < 0$, $f_t(x, t) < 0$, and $\phi(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and $f(1, t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

Then

$$0 \leq \int_1^n f(x, n) dx + f(1, n) - S(n) \leq f(1, n) - f(n, n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \text{ becomes}$$

infinite.

Proof: Draw a picture!

Example:

$$S(n) = \frac{n}{0^2 + n^2} + \frac{n}{1^2 + n^2} + \dots + \frac{n}{n^2 + n^2}$$

The conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied, giving $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(n) = \frac{\pi}{4}$.